Oh No!

Jewel Musante <jmusante@verizon.net>

Fri 1/15/2016 7:41 PM

To: Katie Owston <Katie.Owston@redondo.org>

It would be so sad to see the fisherman's pier and Polly's meet their demise. This has been such a special place for locals such as ourselves to meet and enjoy the old school ambiance of the South Bay. Do we really need to sterilize our south bay even more. Let use know if there is anything we can do to help the cause. This is our favorite place to eat and meet our friends.

Sincerely,

Jewel and Tom Musante
Hi Katie,

Hope all is well. Attached is a set of comments we received at an event we hosted over the weekend that we wanted to forward to your attention. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Best,
Taylor
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Kara Vorsey
Address: 526 Sapphire St.
Phone: 702-927-5352
Email: mary@vorsey.net

Comment:
Your show is amazing! Thank you for putting me on it!
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Bonnie Nixon
Organization/Business:  
Address: 25 41st Street Manhattan Beach
Phone: 415 306 880 Email: bonnie.nx@gmail.com

Comment:

This project is magnificent. I hope the community can embrace it in all its beauty.
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Jane Bakes
Organization/Business: ________________________________
Address: 228 11th St
Phone: ____________________ Email: ____________________

Comment:

Wonderful video! I am totally supportive of "Waterjunk" and I am here to help in any way I can!
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Gian Ishino  Organization/Business: Animus Western
Address: 16901 Koriblu Terrace
Phone: 714 771 7031  Email: gian@live.com

Comment:

The revitalization of this waterfront is just what this community needs to keep Redondo Beach a thriving place where people want to go.
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: JUAN FORTEZA
Organization/Business: 
Address: 4021 VIA LARGA VISTA 
Phone: 310 373-6882
Email: 

Comment: LET'S GET IT BUILT!
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Christi Winkler Ph.D
Organization/Business: CSCE
Address: 102 S PUEBLO ST. CA 90277
Phone: 310-701-5547 Email: cwinkler@aol.com

Comment:

Great presentation & vision for a spectacular new experience in this city.
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Julie Call
Organization/Business: KHBF
Waterfront Education

Comment: There is a real need in this harbor for dry storage & public access decks.
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Tara Guthrie  Organization/Business: King Harbor Marina
Address: 349 Ave K BB  90277
Phone: 310-801-6956  Email: tera@kingharbor.com

Comment:
I've been telling my teenage daughter
to go around the area. I would love
nothing more to tell her and her friends
to go hang out at the pier some day.
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Erik Bowman
Organization/Business: Localista
Address: 404 N PCH #777
Phone: 3103081113 Email: ebowman@localista.media

Comment:
Traffic: Sold Penn Acres?
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Deborah Shepard  Organization/Business: Destination Excellence
Address: 2146 Fielder Av, Long Beach or PESCVB Tourism Committee
Phone: 562 494 7403  Email: destination.excellence2day@gmail.com

Comment:

I'm so excited about Center Cal's plan to responsibly revitalizing the waterfront. From a farmer, ecologist, and tourist's point of view, this makes cultural, economic, and environmental health.
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Angela Mailo
Organization/Business: Avian Media
Address: 428 Esplanade #301 EB 902-7
Phone: 310 828 1872  Email: angelamailo+229@gmail.com

Comment:

I am very supportive of the project and would like to become involved in some capacity. I lived in Santa Monica for 25+ years and saw it become a crowded, commercial city, no longer special. I believe Redondo Beach can be updated and improved yet stay the special place that it currently is - the waterfront needs like that vision.
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Lisa Sheffield

Organization/Business: ____________________________

Address: ________________________________________

Phone: ______________________ Email: lisashfeefield61@gmail.com

Comment:

I Support the project – well done!
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Judith Opdam

Organization/Business: Cancer Support Community

Address:

Phone: __________________ Email: __________________

Comment:

Love the video & can hardly wait until approved & break ground.
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Tim Hallissy
Organization/Business: SurfCaps.com
Address: 14005 Parwan Way
Phone: 609-364-5275
Email: Timothy.Hallissey@GMAH.com

Comment:
I support the waterfront project.
Looking forward to the under 21 attraction.
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Heidi Butzine
Organization/Business: 
Address: 808 N Main Ave, RB, CA 90277
Phone: ___________________________ Email: heidi@shoplocal.us

Comment:
The waterfront project has so much opportunity to bring revenue, a sense of place, & character to the City of Redondo Beach.

I support the waterfront project for the future of the success of our community.

Thank you Central!
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Steve Goldstein  Organization/Business: Payroll Agent Solutions
Address: 2308 Matthews Ave Unit 2, MB 90278
Phone: 310-921-3509  Email: Steve5674@yahoo.com

Comment:
I am so excited by this. I can't wait to see it become a reality. I pledge to do all I can to help see it to fruition.

Thank you!
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Allan Vick  
Organization/Business:  
Address: 211 Avenue E  
Phone: 310-515-2727  Email: allenlvick@gmail.com

Comment:  
Great video! I really appreciate the work your team is doing on this project and I support it.
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Joanne Galin
Address: 1306, Stewson Ln
Phone: 310-993-5656
Email: jgalin@gmail.com

Comment:
I am very excited!
It is NOT a mall!
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: Grate Wahrman  Organization/Business: Centered Properties Ltd
Address: 
Phone: Email: 

Comment:
I love the video. Have lived here in RR for almost 3 years and am so excited for the future of it. So much potential and Centered has a wonderful vision and quality to it. I cannot wait to see it become a reality.
Name: YVONNE VICK
Address: 211 Avenue E
Phone: 310.489.9441
Email: yvonnevick@gmail.com

Comment:
I totally support this project to reconnect diverse families to an improved waterfront where we can all hang out.

I would be happy to host a coffee/hin at my home.
Draft Environmental Impact Report Comment

Name: KATHY ROGERS  Organization/Business: REALTOR
Address:
Phone: Email:

Comment:

IT IS ABOUT TIME!
You stated your aim is "boutique" businesses in the Retail Mix. Will "local" owned "boutique" businesses be able to afford to be in or stay in the complex. Large National Brands are taking over Manhattan Beach due to high rents. How will you avoid this in the development, especially when those national brands are spinning off "boutique" names. They are still large Corporate Brand Stores.
Ms. Katie Owston, Project Planner  
City of Redondo Beach  

Dear Ms. Owston,  
My wife and I visit the area from Virginia several times a year, keeping up with a daughter and her family and enjoying the very special places of Redondo Beach and Hermosa Beach. We regularly have breakfast at Polly’s on the pier, I go fishing with my grandson at the same place, and at night we light to have drinks at Kincaid’s or one of the nearby establishments.

This morning at breakfast, again at Polly’s, we learned for the first time of the initiative to re-conceive the waterfront. There may be many advantages to the project, but one concern prompts me to write, that being the apparent exclusion of Polly’s pier from the design. People at Polly’s and next door at the fishing shop tell me they have not been included and, worse yet, they suspect there’s soon to be approved a new Redondo Beach without them.

As anyone who frequents Polly’s knows, it’s the ambience of a long established eatery and the family atmosphere, not just its location, that contribute to its charm and thereby adds to the appeal of Redondo Beach for its many visitors. I write to encourage you and the other planners and decision makers to keep Polly’s and its pier in the picture of future development. It would be such a loss for Redondo Beach and the area to lose this place we have come to enjoy and frequent so often.

Thanks for listening and for sharing these thoughts at the meeting coming up soon which will include public comment.

Sincerely,  
Gordon M. Stewart  
216 Sawmill Creek Drive  
Nellysford, VA 22958
Please save Polly's!

Key Snodgress <editor@replaymag.com>

Sat 12/5/2015 1:19 PM

To: Katie Owston <Katie.Owston@redondo.org>;

Wanted to share our love of Polly’s and the pier, even though we’re no longer residents of Redondo Beach.

Thank you,
Joanna Snodgress
5309 Linda Drive
Torrance, CA 90505
3104886998
Pier Renovation

Jim Desalvo <jimdesalvo58@yahoo.com>

Sun 12/6/2015 4:57 PM

To: Katie Owston <Katie.Owston@redondo.org>

I have a question, how is it that adding new shops, hotels and various attractions will have no impact on local residents? You must be anticipating increased traffic, I would assume this would impact those of us who call Redondo home. I am aware the Pier needs to be updated but I feel there are more non-invasive ways to do this. I would appreciate hearing your responses!

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone
Dear Ms. Owston:

The future of Redondo Beach could be one that is environmentally impressive. We could create something beautiful and sustainable that will not only draw tourists but will be a landmark that inspires pride and care in the locals.

Or it could be the mall that CenterCal is currently proposing, which is destructive to our views, and catastrophic to values and lifestyles of residents. (I'll leave it for others to get into the traffic and sewage issues)

We already have Del Amo and other local malls that are designed in the current industrial fashion; they look so much the same that you could literally be in any city anywhere.

When I think of city's that were wisely planned, Paris or Rome, for example, there's beauty, history, art, a wise sense of how the streets will function together. That sense helps fuel the lives of the inhabitants.

Are we wrong to want to take the money allocated to another cookie cutter mall and create a legacy that we can live with? Maybe asking for the heart and beauty of Piazza Novana is out of the question but do we have to cater to or take inspiration and example from the lowest common denominator? I don't know if it's total greed or the people who push us so hard for these industrialized improvements really find the landscaping aesthetic.

I love living in Redondo Beach. My family first moved here in 1974. We love it because it is the beach. The Southbay has always had a kind of unique social and family atmosphere that's palpable.

I saw CenterCal’s video where they spent most of it showing people who love CenterCal and want the pier to fit their vision. After wading through lots of rhetoric about how great they are, we then saw about a minute or less of the monolith they call a lifestyle (I forget the word they use with it). But it doesn’t sound honest, or healthful or geared to today’s inhabitants and the lifestyles of the future.

In a time when people of all ages are coming up with genius concepts to deal with global warming and other ills, is it possible that we could tap into some of that forward thinking and not simply have a group of white guys lead us into the past while presenting it as the future?

Please help us maintain our views, modernize in such a way that we enhance the quality of life rather than strip it for the greed of the select few.

Thank you,

Lorrie Kazan
660 The Village
Redondo Beach, CA 90277
Hi Katie,

I live in Encino and don't drive at night. My condo is No. 102 in the 610 building. I have the drawing that we were given when the construction plans were first announced.

I'm wondering if there will be a bridge from the Village to the ocean across the planned street that runs through the project. Please locate it for me -- I'm hoping we at the Village will at least have easy access to the pier.

If there is a new plan drawing, please send one to me -- at 16600 Calneva Drive, Encino 91436.

Thank You,

Dorris Cragg
818 501 7410
Waterfront Revitalization

Jill Brown <lilyofthesea8@yahoo.com>

Wed 12/9/2015 1:25 PM

To: Katie Owston <Katie.Owston@redondo.org>

I would like to add my comments/concerns regarding the proposed Redondo Beach Waterfront Project. I have serious concerns about so many aspects of this enormous project, but here I will address the increased traffic congestion and the impact it will ultimately have on all residents that live local to the site, as well as those that this development is expected to attract to the area. I am concerned about the the impact to our safety and quality of life, while walking, biking, or driving, and living in an area that is already stretched to its limits with vehicle congestion, as well as the impact to local air quality resulting from this enormous traffic increase.

In addition, to date, I have been unable to locate any specific information regarding the plan to accommodate the massive number of delivery vehicles that will be required to support the restaurants, retail, and various other businesses in a development of this size. I have found NO reference to any designated zone where they will off load their goods. With no such designated area in the plan, we must expect traffic backups during delivery times which will could occur continuously throughout the day if current pier deliveries are the model.

As a 27 year resident of South Bay, I have watched and experienced the increase in local traffic that has occurred with normal growth over the years, so I have serious concerns about the traffic, congestion, and reduced air quality that an additional development with such an enormous footprint will bring.

Jill Brown
660 The Village # 211
R.B. CA 90277
424‐237‐2288

Sent from my iPad
Comments, City of Redondo Beach Waterfront Draft Environment Impact Report
December 9, 2015
By Barbara Epstein, Resident, Redondo Beach

Last month I objected to the CenterCal project for many reasons:

* We came to live in Redondo Beach for the healthful exercise we enjoy on our daily walks around the pier and the waterfront, with fresh air, open spaces, views of sea, wildlife, and sky. We do not want wall-to-wall buildings at the waterfront. The density of this project will be claustrophobic.
* We participated in several planning workshops with the unfortunate result of all of our time, energy and hard work being ignored, leading us to realize that the workshops were nothing but a sham, and we had been victims of fraud and deception by the City Council majority and CenterCal, a shopping center developer. Clearly, the City Majority represents only special real estate interests in entering into this contract, not the residents who live at the waterfront.
* The City is giving valuable public property to a private company over the objections of many Redondo Beach citizens. This is immoral and possibly illegal.

Today I will further object to this project: This Draft EIR is fatally flawed and should be discarded.

* Constructing a new public street for vehicular traffic will dictate that cars, trucks, and motorcycles will dominate the space between Torrance Boulevard and Harbor Drive, cutting off public access and reducing foot and bike traffic from Catalina Avenue. The public will lose the ability to walk freely from Czuleger Park, or from the hundreds of nearby residences, to the waterfront without crossing traffic. This street presents a safety hazard and objectionable barrier for the walking public.
* The noise coming from this new and unnecessary vehicular traffic will be a new and unreasonable burden for residents to bear.
* Traffic from Harbor Drive to Pacific Coast Highway and beyond will be unmanageable. Residents and visitors will be under stress trying to access the area.
* The hundreds of residents who live on the ocean side of Catalina now enjoy fresh air coming from the prevailing winds from the ocean. With the installation of a new public street these residents will now be subject to toxic fumes that is a serious health threat. We chose the location of our home very carefully to avoid these types of auto emissions.
* The CenterCal drawings that have been made available to the public, supposedly to view, remain vague and impossible to analyze.
CenterCal never produced the three dimensional drawings they promised.
The city has not required the usual silhouette, or skeleton, at the site, in order to display just how tall, just how wide, or just how massive this development will be.
* Recreation will be very negatively impacted in many ways.
The Seaside Lagoon will be diminished greatly, turning public parkland into commercial buildings for private profits.

Those waterfront visitors, who come to exercise, enjoy views, wildlife, and open sky will be confronted with unwelcome and inappropriate auto traffic, noise, emissions, and towering buildings.

Boaters and Boarders will find parking impossible for their boats and boards in a parking structure. Who wants to go boating and boarding in the middle of a mall like The Grove? Recreational enjoyment of the waterfront will be seriously reduced by this project.

* Land use. Residents want to retain the Waterfront for recreational boating, water sports, fishing, boarding, and passive relaxation. They have made it clear many times they do not want to live in or visit the Waterfront in order to shop, drive their cars, or go to the movies.

Movie theaters do not belong in this area.

Density is a serious problem in this plan.

Through traffic is not appropriate in this waterfront area.

These Environmental Impact workshops and the Draft Impact Report are clearly drafted to be just another fraud to pretend that the public is being included in this theft of their public land to benefit private gain. This project needs to be cancelled, this EIR needs to be scrapped, and the City of Redondo Beach needs to look at forming a citizens committee to find the right land conservancies to help create a new and brilliant plan to fund and revitalize our waterfront. The City must include any citizen who wants to participate to be included in the planning.

The Public will not tolerate this fraud and theft of public parkland.
Comments, City of Redondo Beach Waterfront Draft Environment Impact Report
December 9, 2015
By Barbara Epstein, Resident, Redondo Beach

Last month I objected to the CenterCal project for many reasons:

* We came to live in Redondo Beach for the healthful exercise we enjoy on our daily walks around the pier and the waterfront, with fresh air, open spaces, views of sea, wildlife, and sky. We do not want wall-to-wall buildings at the waterfront. The density of this project will be claustrophobic.

* We participated in several planning workshops with the unfortunate result of all of our time, energy and hard work being ignored, leading us to realize that the workshops were nothing but a sham, and we had been victims of fraud and deception by the City Council majority and CenterCal, a shopping center developer. Clearly, the City Majority represents only special real estate interests in entering into this contract, not the residents who live at the waterfront.

* The City is giving valuable public property to a private company over the objections of many Redondo Beach citizens. This is immoral and possibly illegal.

Today I will further object to this project: This Draft EIR is fatally flawed and should be discarded.

* Constructing a new public street for vehicular traffic will dictate that cars, trucks, and motorcycles will dominate the space between Torrance Boulevard and Harbor Drive, cutting off public access and reducing foot and bike traffic from Catalina Avenue. The public will lose the ability to walk freely from Czuleger Park, or from the hundreds of nearby residences, to the waterfront without crossing traffic. This street presents a safety hazard and objectionable barrier for the walking public.

* The noise coming from this new and unnecessary vehicular traffic will be a new and unreasonable burden for residents to bear.

* Traffic from Harbor Drive to Pacific Coast Highway and beyond will be unmanageable. Residents and visitors will be under stress trying to access the area.

* The hundreds of residents who live on the ocean side of Catalina now enjoy fresh air coming from the prevailing winds from the ocean. With the installation of a new public street these residents will now be subject to toxic fumes that is a serious health threat. We chose the location of our home very carefully to avoid these types of auto emissions.

* The CenterCal drawings that have been made available to the public, supposedly to view, remain vague and impossible to analyze. CenterCal never produced the three dimensional drawings they promised.

The city has not required the usual silhouette, or skeleton, at the site, in order to display just how tall, just how wide, or just how massive this development will be.

* Recreation will be very negatively impacted in many ways.
The Seaside Lagoon will be diminished greatly, turning public parkland into commercial buildings for private profits. Those waterfront visitors, who come to exercise, enjoy views, wildlife, and open sky will be confronted with unwelcome and inappropriate auto traffic, noise, emissions, and towering buildings. Boaters and Boarders will find parking impossible for their boats and boards in a parking structure. Who wants to go boating and boarding in the middle of a mall like The Grove? Recreational enjoyment of the waterfront will be seriously reduced by this project.

* Land use. Residents want to retain the Waterfront for recreational boating, water sports, fishing, boarding, and passive relaxation. They have made it clear many times they do not want to live in or visit the Waterfront in order to shop, drive their cars, or go to the movies. Movie theaters do not belong in this area. Density is a serious problem in this plan. Through traffic is not appropriate in this waterfront area.

These Environmental Impact workshops and the Draft Impact Report are clearly drafted to be just another fraud to pretend that the public is being included in this theft of their public land to benefit private gain. This project needs to be cancelled, this EIR needs to be scrapped, and the City of Redondo Beach needs to look at forming a citizens committee to find the right land conservancies to help create a new and brilliant plan to fund and revitalize our waterfront. The City must include any citizen who wants to participate to be included in the planning.

The Public will not tolerate this fraud and theft of public parkland.
Draft EIR

Barbara Epstein <justbarb56@gmail.com>

Wed 12/9/2015 3:42 PM

To: Katie Owston <Katie.Owston@redondo.org>;

Comments, City of Redondo Beach Waterfront Draft Environmental Impact Report
December 9, 2015
By Jack Epstein, Resident, Redondo Beach

Opening a new road between Torrance Boulevard and Harbor Drive at the waterfront will produce noise, pollution, congestion, irrational behavior, and possible violence. Why put in a street when we could have an electric people mover with hop on hop off stops along the way? Supply trucks could use the roads early for all stores and restaurants.

Have any estimates been made for the additional traffic along Harbor Drive to Catalina, to Pacific Coast Highway and beyond as to the building of the future AES projects, which will impact the area even more?

This road seems to be outdated even before the addition of these two giant projects.
EIR

Gretchen Lloyd <gclloyd_2000@yahoo.com>

Wed 12/9/2015 5:07 PM

To: Katie Owston <Katie.Owston@redondo.org>

The acreage the Centercal project will be built on has been quoted by Centercal, newspapers and the City Council variously as 15, 18, 35 and now 36 acres; what is the actual acreage of the land the Centercal project will occupy not including the water.

How can any legitimate company project the costs, profits, operating expenses and/or cost of square footage etc., when the developers have no idea of the total sq ft (or acreage) of the land they are trying to get a contract to develop. A contract must have specific and accurate numbers to be enforceable not to mention legal.

Gretchen Lloyd
Redondo Beach
gclloyd_2000@yahoo.com
Dear Mayor Steve Aspel,

As an avid bird enthusiast, I am urging you to take the wildlife of Redondo Beach into consideration while making choices regarding development of the Harbor. We impact wildlife with the choices we make. A choice to preserve wildlife habitat and protect Redondo's fragile ecosystem is in keeping with the current standards set forth by government agencies, on both a State and Federal level. Please choose to do the right thing for our beloved winged and seaborne companions.

Redondo Beach is home to several protected species, including the Great Blue Heron, the migratory Humpback Whale and the Brown Pelican.

The Great Blue Heron is both Federally Protected, and it is also designated by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife as a "Special Animal." According to the CDFW website:

"The great blue heron is designated a "Special Animal" because of the close association it has with a habitat that is continuing to decline in California. Additionally, tree cutting, water recreation, draining of wetland habitats, building, and highway construction have all contributed to rookery abandonment in recent years."
The Humpback Whale also spends time in Redondo Beach, migrating through our waters yearly. As you can see, the Humpback Whale has been known to get very close to shore. This year, a mother and her calf spent several days amusing whale watchers, kayakers, paddle boarders and surfers near the Redondo Pier. I was on a whale watching boat, and a woman quipped, "We're not watching them as much as they are watching us."

Currently the status of Humpback Whales continues to be an unresolved issue, although there has been a proposal to move them from "Endangered" to "Threatened." They are, nonetheless, a Federally Protected Species either way.
One of my primary concerns is the welfare of the California Brown Pelican. This beautiful winged creature is considered a Fully Protected Species by the California Department of Fish and Game.

Beyond their official status, Brown Pelicans in California make people happy on the Pier. They interact with fishermen, star in tourist videos, and they put on an excellent show flying in perfect "V" form, high in the heavens.

The Great Blue Heron, the Humpback Whale and the California Brown Pelican are all protected by state and federal regulations. These creatures deserve your attention, respect and protection. They are beautiful and they bring profound joy to the residents of Redondo Beach!

Thank you,
Gina DiPietro
ginadipietro2@gmail.com
Comments, City of Redondo Beach Waterfront Draft Environment Impact Report
December 9, 2015
By Barbara Epstein, Resident, Redondo Beach

Last month I objected to the CenterCal project for many reasons:

* We came to live in Redondo Beach for the healthful exercise we enjoy on our daily walks around the pier and the waterfront, with fresh air, open spaces, views of sea, wildlife, and sky. We do not want wall-to-wall buildings at the waterfront. The density of this project will be claustrophobic.
* We participated in several planning workshops with the unfortunate result of all of our time, energy and hard work being ignored, leading us to realize that the workshops were nothing but a sham, and we had been victims of fraud and deception by the City Council majority and CenterCal, a shopping center developer. Clearly, the City Majority represents only special real estate interests in entering into this contract, not the residents who live at the waterfront.
* The City is giving valuable public property to a private company over the objections of many Redondo Beach citizens. This is immoral and possibly illegal.

Today I will further object to this project: This Draft EIR is fatally flawed and should be discarded.

* Constructing a new public street for vehicular traffic will dictate that cars, trucks, and motorcycles will dominate the space between Torrance Boulevard and Harbor Drive, cutting off public access and reducing foot and bike traffic from Catalina Avenue. The public will lose the ability to walk freely from Czuleger Park, or from the hundreds of nearby residences, to the waterfront without crossing traffic. This street presents a safety hazard and objectionable barrier for the walking public.
* The noise coming from this new and unnecessary vehicular traffic will be a new and unreasonable burden for residents to bear.
* Traffic from Harbor Drive to Pacific Coast Highway and beyond will be unmanageable. Residents and visitors will be under stress trying to access the area.
* The hundreds of residents who live on the ocean side of Catalina now enjoy fresh air coming from the prevailing winds from the ocean. With the installation of a new public street these residents will now be subject to toxic fumes that is a serious health threat. We chose the location of our home very carefully to avoid these types of auto emissions.
* The CenterCal drawings that have been made available to the public, supposedly to view, remain vague and impossible to analyze. CenterCal never produced the three dimensional drawings they promised. The city has not required the usual silhouette, or skeleton, at the site, in order to display just how tall, just how wide, or just how massive this development will be.
* Recreation will be very negatively impacted in many ways.
The Seaside Lagoon will be diminished greatly, turning public parkland into commercial buildings for private profits.

Those waterfront visitors, who come to exercise, enjoy views, wildlife, and open sky will be confronted with unwelcome and inappropriate auto traffic, noise, emissions, and towering buildings.

Boaters and Boarders will find parking impossible for their boats and boards in a parking structure.

Who wants to go boating and boarding in the middle of a mall like The Grove?

Recreational enjoyment of the waterfront will be seriously reduced by this project.

* Land use. Residents want to retain the Waterfront for recreational boating, water sports, fishing, boarding, and passive relaxation. They have made it clear many times they do not want to live in or visit the Waterfront in order to shop, drive their cars, or go to the movies.

Movie theaters do not belong in this area.

Density is a serious problem in this plan.

Through traffic is not appropriate in this waterfront area.

These Environmental Impact workshops and the Draft Impact Report are clearly drafted to be just another fraud to pretend that the public is being included in this theft of their public land to benefit private gain.

This project needs to be cancelled, this EIR needs to be scrapped, and the City of Redondo Beach needs to look at forming a citizens committee to find the right land conservancies to help create a new and brilliant plan to fund and revitalize our waterfront. The City must include any citizen who wants to participate to be included in the planning.

The Public will not tolerate this fraud and theft of public parkland.
Comments, City of Redondo Beach Waterfront Draft Environmental Impact Report
December 9, 2015
By Jack Epstein, Resident, Redondo Beach

Opening a new road between Torrance Boulevard and Harbor Drive at the waterfront will produce noise, pollution, congestion, irrational behavior, and possible violence. Why put in a street when we could have an electric people mover with hop on hop off stops along the way? Supply trucks could use the roads early for all stores and restaurants.

Have any estimates been made for the additional traffic along Harbor Drive to Catalina, to Pacific Coast Highway and beyond as to the building of the future AES projects, which will impact the area even more?

This road seems to be outdated even before the addition of these two giant projects.
Barbara Epstein, Redondo Beach

Last month I objected to CenterCal’s attempt to take public parkland for private gain, and for their attempt to fool the public into thinking our input would be used in the waterfront project. I objected to wall-to-wall buildings covering the waterfront, distracting from the visitors’ enjoyment of the fresh air, open sky, and views of the ocean and wildlife.

Today I further object to this Draft EIR. It is fatally flawed and should be discarded. There are so many errors and deceptions in this document that there are too many to list here, so I have submitted them in writing.

This project needs to be cancelled, this flawed EIR needs to be scrapped, and the City of Redondo Beach needs to go back to the residents to help find the right land conservancies to help us fund, plan and create something of beauty and outdoor fun that everyone can enjoy, including small business owners at the waterfront.

The new plan will include working with AES to make an over all general plan for both parts of the waterfront, drawing it together in a brilliant outcome that will be good for all parties.

We can do better than this developer and this EIR.
Comments on Draft EIR:

If you have a bridge, what alternate route is available if it is not operational.

How do we protect wildlife that have legal protective status? Seals, abalone, migratory birds, and fish.

(Please write on the back if you need more room)

Please drop the completed form into the box marked “COMMENTS” or mail to:
Katie Owston, Project Planner
City of Redondo Beach
415 Diamond Street
Redondo Beach, California 90277

Comments may also be submitted via email to katie.owston@redondo.org.
All comments must be received no later than 5:30 p.m. on January 19, 2016.
Comments
The Waterfront
Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR)

Name:  Unreadable
Organization (optional):

Address:  140 The Village
           90277
Zip Code:  
Phone (optional):  
E-mail (optional):  

Comments on Draft EIR:

Very worried about height of first structures where Captain Kidd's now. We formerly agreed to 2 story structure but see film pictures that movie house side of new condos is higher.

Be honest - don't try to misrepresent reality of situation to avoid conflict. Make correct changes now before construction begins.

Soundproofing. Provide soundproof windows for condos in resonance. 1/3 part of your expenditure.

Consider realistically - sand pollution, noise disturbance and fumes of delivery trucks.

(Please write on the back if you need more room)

Please drop the completed form into the box marked "COMMENTS" or mail to:
Katie Owston, Project Planner
City of Redondo Beach
415 Diamond Street
Redondo Beach, California 90277

Comments may also be submitted via email to katie.owston@redondo.org.
All comments must be received no later than 5:30 p.m. on January 19, 2016.
Comments
The Waterfront
Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR)

Name: Bryce & Lisa

Address: 1822 Schilling Ct, Torrance
Zip Code: 90501
Phone (optional): 
E-mail (optional): 

Comments on Draft EIR:

Pallua is our absolute favorite restaurant! From the food to the friendly accommodating staff. This pier is an institutional landmark and we have been enjoying this location for over 30 years. Our nieces and nephew have grown up going here as well. This is the only place in the South Bay that we can enjoy a delicious meal, see the water while engaging the view, watch the people fishing and see our local birds. We need to keep the integrity of our pier, a place we love and enjoy!!! The pier is Redondo Beach a place where us locals and tourists come to

(Please write on the back if you need more room)

Please drop the completed form into the box marked "COMMENTS" or mail to:
Katie Owston, Project Planner
City of Redondo Beach
415 Diamond Street
Redondo Beach, California 90277

Comments may also be submitted via email to katie.owston@redondo.org.
All comments must be received no later than 5:30 p.m. on January 19, 2016.
I have just heard the news and am appalled. People were beating what old Redondo Beach was like before all the development moved in.

Sitting on the pier, watching people fish while enjoying a brezak is a pleasure that I have had for a great many years and I want it to remain for others to find and enjoy.

Don't take this piece of history away in the name of progress. Protect our history!!
Comments on Draft EIR:

As long time residents of RB, our favorite breakfast/lunch place is Polly's on the Pier. Visitors from around the country have joined us here. Sitting outside, surrounded by water, listing to the waves against the rocks - is very different than any other place in town. Even those close by (in the adjacent parking lot) don't offer the same experience. We love our RB local spot. Don't take the pier away - let it stay as it. That was my wife. I completely agree with her. I get it. Tear things down to make them better... no. In this case, this small humble restaurant & pier are just perfect. It would be a great shame and loss to change what's already perfect. Please leave it Be.

Just called my sister in NY. Her response to taking down The Pier is changing Polly's: "Noooo!!"

(Please write on the back if you need more room)
The pier is its own institution for generations of children have learned to fish here. Families have enjoyed Polys, the fishing boat business with half day and full day trips. Don't make this about squeezing an extra penny out of budget. Keep Redondo Redondo means keep the good, healthy, fun traditions alive.

Keep the pier!

Jasper Bruinsma

2018 1/2 Morgan Lane
90278
(310) 707-8806

Comments may also be submitted via email to katie.owston@redondo.org. All comments must be received no later than 5:30 p.m. on January 19, 2016.
This pier has created countless memories for my family. Growing up I remember learning how to fish on this pier, spending quality time with friends and family, and just being able to come out and enjoy the view and water without the hustle and bustle of a lot of tourists. We enjoyed countless meals at Pier 39 and can't imagine not being able to watch the sparkling water as we eat those meals. Tearing down the pier would be a big mistake and the community would not be the same. This pier makes us "feel like home" as a local resident. Fishing on the pier and having Pier 39 definitely a plus to the community.

We can't imagine Redondo Beach without it.

Darron & Pamela Matsuda.
Comments
The Waterfront
Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR)

Name: Carolyn Ediger

Address: 5225 N. Kenmore Ave, 9-B
Zip Code: Chicago IL 60640
Phone (optional): 773-907-1991
E-mail (optional):

Comments on Draft EIR:

When I came to L.A. I came to the sportfishing pier everytime, I have breakfast at Polly's on the pier. Do not take down one of the finest L.A. landmarks.

(Please write on the back if you need more room)

Please drop the completed form into the box marked "COMMENTS" or mail to:
Katie Owston, Project Planner
City of Redondo Beach
415 Diamond Street
Redondo Beach, California 90277

Comments may also be submitted via email to katie.owston@redondo.org.
All comments must be received no later than 5:30 p.m. on January 19, 2016.
The Sport Fishing Pier has been a staple in my life and all my friends lives. We have Europeans come visit and they talk others in Sweden & other European countries that this is the highlight of their visit. I have been coming to Redondo the Pier for 10 years. It would be terrible to have a landmark taken away. Families have become friends over the years of having breakfast & lunch here at Kelly's. Many friends including myself have gone whale watching or fishing off this pier. The Pier has an Historical Value. Please save the Pier.

(Please write on the back if you need more room)

Please drop the completed form into the box marked "COMMENTS" or mail to:
Katie Owston, Project Planner
City of Redondo Beach
415 Diamond Street
Redondo Beach, California 90277

Comments may also be submitted via email to katie.owston@redondo.org.
All comments must be received no later than 5:30 p.m. on January 19, 2016.
The Sport Fishing Pier is a part of the history of not just Redondo Beach, but the South Bay! Being a local teacher, I have spent many mornings on this pier eating at Polly's and watching current and former students learn to fish. Where in all of your plans would that be possible?

Growing up in Virginia and Alabama my family and I missed that small town feel, and when we discovered Polly's we found home. There is no other place in the South Bay where you can dine under the sun, hear the ocean, and knowing that you will have a home-cooked meal served by friends that know you.

(Please write on the back if you need more room)
Vincent Goldberg

2171 25th St
Lomita, CA 90717

I've been a major regular at Polly's on the Pier for years and I'm worried about the new waterfront plan. Redondo is the last of the great beach cities and I'm afraid that a gallery on the water is not good for quality of life here. I'm in favor of a new pier for Polly's to remain on a pier. Retain some local flavor and don't force out the locals. This isn't and shouldn't become Marina Del Rey.

Please write on the back if you need more room.

Please drop the completed form into the box marked "COMMENTS" or mail to:
Katie Owston, Project Planner
City of Redondo Beach
415 Diamond Street
Redondo Beach, California 90277

Comments may also be submitted via email to katie.owston@redondo.org.
All comments must be received no later than 5:30 p.m. on January 19, 2016.
Polly's on the pier is a tradition! Coming out to Redondo Beach to sit on the pier and have my favorite breakfast is one of the most relaxing and enjoyable things. To remove Polly's from the pier would be a tragedy. The authenticity of Polly's is centered around its location on the pier; there is simply no place like it. Please do not remove such a landmark that exists as a place for people to enjoy themselves.

Thank you,
Sara Ord

Please write on the back if you need more room

Please drop the completed form into the box marked "COMMENTS" or mail to:
Katie Owston, Project Planner
City of Redondo Beach
415 Diamond Street
Redondo Beach, California 90277

Comments may also be submitted via email to katie.owston@redondo.org.
All comments must be received no later than 5:30 p.m. on January 19, 2016.