1. Which stations would provide fire protection services to the project site?
   Redondo Beach stations providing service would be stations 1 and 2. Mutual aid stations
   would also likely be utilized.
   a) Which fire station would provide initial response to the proposed project?
      Stations 2 and 1 (in that order would be dispatched first.
   b) What are the types and numbers of staff at each of these stations?
      Station 2 houses two fire engines and one rescue unit. Each engine is staffed with a
      Captain, and Engineer and a FF/Paramedic. The rescue unit is staffed with two
      FF/Paramedics. Station 1 houses one engine, one ladder truck and one rescue unit.
      The ladder truck is staffed by one Captain, one Engineer and two firefighters. A shift
      Division Chief also runs out of station 1.
   c) What are the types and numbers of equipment (e.g., fire trucks, engines, rescue ambulances,
      etc.) at each of these stations? Answered above.

2. Are the existing staff levels at the stations discussed in answer to Question #1 adequate to
   meet current demands for fire protection services in the project area? Yes, but they are getting
   thin as development continues within Redondo Beach.
   a) If not, what is needed to accommodate current demands?

3. What other agencies provide mutual aid to the proposed project site and surrounding areas?
   Fire Departments from the Cities of Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, LA County and
   Torrance.

4. Does the District have plans to develop any new fire stations or make improvements to the
   staff/equipment levels of stations in the area of the proposed project? Yes
   a) If so, please describe the specifics of these planned improvements.
      Purchase of a new engine during FY16-17; probable changes in our rescue units to
      make them ambulances.

5. What is/are the average response time(s) from each fire station included in your response to Question
   #1 to the project area? From station 1, expected response time is about 8 minutes; from station 2,
   <5 minutes.
   a) What is the desired response time of the District?
      5 minutes or less, 90% of the time.

6. What is the current ratio of firefighters per population? Does the District have a preferred ratio?
   Daily staffing is 19, plus a Division Chief, serving a population of approx. 65,000. There is no
   written preferred ratio.
7. Would implementation of the proposed project require the District to construct new facilities or expand existing facilities to accommodate the increased demand for fire protection services created by the proposed project?

That is a strong possibility, given an anticipated increased EMS load without the capacity within the station to accommodate additional equipment or employees at station 2.

8. How does your agency address the growing demand for fire protection services?
   a) Do you have any projections for future demand based on projected growth in the region? Yes!
   b) What would be needed to meet the cumulative demand for fire protection services? We have aging infrastructure at two of our stations, with limited ability to expand our response capability and keep it housed. We need to look at station improvement that would accommodate growth at station 2. Station 1 has similar needs, resulting from ongoing and projected development in the southern half of the city. At some point, the City will likely need to examine whether an increase in authorized strength is warranted as a result of anticipated run volume increases, particularly in the area of medical response.

9. Please provide any recommendations that could reduce the demand for fire protection services created by the proposed project and cumulative development.
Adherence to all CFC provisions for detection and suppression systems, separations, and rated systems. External access to all aspects of the project that would allow rapid deployment of personnel into the areas requiring response. Consultation with our staff to review plan specs and weigh-in on recommended features.